



Religion as Social Technology: A Conceptual Framework for Reducing Social Risks in Multireligious Societies

Valery Vladimirovich Glushchenko^{1,2,*}

¹Moscow Polytechnic University, Moscow, Russia

² Russian State University of Social Technologies, Moscow, Russia

*Correspondence: E-mail: valery.v.glushchenko@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Religion plays a significant role in shaping social relations, values, and collective behavior within contemporary societies. In increasingly multireligious and globalized contexts, unmanaged religious differences may contribute to social tension and conflict. This article aims to develop a conceptual framework that understands religious activity as a form of social technology capable of reducing social risks in multireligious societies. Using a conceptual and literature-based approach grounded in religious studies, sociology, and systems analysis, the study examines the social functions, mechanisms, and levels of influence of religion in socio-economic and geopolitical processes. The results demonstrate that religious activity operates through normative regulation, institutional mediation, and value internalization, which collectively contribute to social cohesion and conflict prevention. These mechanisms function at micro, meso, and macro levels of society. This study contributes to the general theory of religions by offering an analytical model of religion as social technology, providing insights for policymakers, educators, and scholars concerned with social harmony, sustainable development, and intercultural coexistence.

ARTICLE INFO

Article History:

Submitted/Received 12 Oct 2025

First Revised 24 Nov 2025

Accepted 08 Jan 2026

First Available online 09 Jan 2026

Publication Date 01 Apr 2026

Keyword:

Culture,
Economy,
Efficiency,
Globalization,
Knowledge,
Organization,
Power,
Profession,
Religion,
Society,
System,
Technology,
Theory.

1. INTRODUCTION

Religion has long functioned as a central force in shaping social order, collective identity, and moral regulation within human societies. That is the reason for many reports in describing religious and religious education (Camral, 2022; Jamiu, 2022a; Ragadhita & Nandiyanto, 2022; Jamiu, 2022b; Al Husaeni & Al Husaeni, 2022; Adeoye, 2022; Jamiu, 2023; Oke & Adesegun, 2023; Adeoye & Dauda, 2023; Kamraju, 2023; Organia *et al.*, 2023). In contemporary contexts characterized by globalization, migration, and increasing religious plurality, religion continues to exert a significant influence on social interaction, political legitimacy, and economic behavior. While religion is often discussed as a belief system or cultural tradition, its operational role in structuring social relations remains under-theorized, particularly in multireligious societies where unmanaged religious diversity may generate social tension and conflict.

The growing complexity of global society has intensified the need to understand religion not only as a spiritual phenomenon but also as a social mechanism that regulates behavior, mediates conflict, and stabilizes collective life. In many regions, religious identities intersect with ethnicity, economics, and politics, amplifying both cooperative and conflictual dynamics. Religious conflicts, in particular, are frequently categorized as value-based or affective conflicts, which are widely regarded as among the most difficult forms of social conflict to resolve due to their deep emotional and identity-related roots.

Many reports regarding religious studies in Russia (Köllner, 2020; Greeley, 1994; Filatov & Lunkin, 2006; Krindatch, 2006; Freeze, 1996; Pares, 1943; White *et al.*, 1994). Despite the extensive body of literature in religious studies and sociology of religion, much of the existing research remains descriptive, historical, or normative in nature. Classical religious studies have focused on doctrines, rituals, and institutional histories, while contemporary approaches often emphasize secularization, post-secularism, or identity politics (Habermas, 2008). However, these approaches have not sufficiently conceptualized religion as an active social technology; that is, as a structured set of norms, practices, institutions, and communicative mechanisms that intentionally shape social behavior and reduce social risks within complex societies.

In multireligious societies, social risks related to religious misunderstanding, exclusion, and conflict tend to increase during periods of economic uncertainty, political restructuring, or rapid technological change. Under such conditions, religion may either intensify divisions or function as a stabilizing force that promotes social cohesion, ethical regulation, and mutual recognition. Understanding the conditions under which religion contributes to social stability rather than conflict is therefore a critical scholarly and practical concern, particularly for policymakers, educators, and community leaders engaged in pluralistic governance.

This article argues that the limitations of existing approaches stem from the absence of a general theoretical framework that systematically explains how religious activity operates within social, economic, and geopolitical systems. Without such a framework, the influence of religion on production, consumption, organizational culture, and international interaction remains fragmented and poorly integrated into broader social theory. Conceptualizing religion as a form of social technology allows for a more analytical understanding of its functions, mechanisms, and levels of operation across different societal contexts.

Based on previous studies regarding social technology (Glushchenko, 2023; Glushchenko & Inei, 2024; Glushchenko, 2025), this study aims to develop a conceptual framework that positions religious activity as social technology capable of reducing social risks in multireligious societies. The novelty of this article lies in its integration of religious studies,

systems theory, and sociological analysis to articulate religion's regulatory, mediating, and stabilizing functions across micro, meso, and macro levels of society. By doing so, the article contributes to the general theory of religions and offers a structured analytical tool for examining the role of religion in promoting social harmony, sustainable development, and intercultural coexistence

2. METHODS

This study employs a conceptual and qualitative research design grounded in interdisciplinary theoretical analysis. Rather than relying on empirical data collection, the research adopts a systematic literature-based approach to construct an analytical framework that conceptualizes religious activity as social technology. This approach is appropriate given the theoretical nature of the research objective, which seeks to clarify concepts, relationships, and mechanisms rather than to measure variables statistically.

The primary method used in this study is theoretical synthesis, which involves the critical integration of ideas from religious studies, sociology of religion, systems theory, and social risk theory. Academic books, peer-reviewed journal articles, and authoritative theoretical works were analyzed to identify recurring patterns related to the social functions of religion, mechanisms of influence, and levels of societal impact. The analysis focused on how religious norms, institutions, and communicative practices shape social behavior and contribute to stability or conflict in pluralistic contexts.

In addition, comparative conceptual analysis was applied to examine how major religious traditions have historically interacted with socio-economic and political systems. This analysis does not aim to rank religions or attribute deterministic outcomes to specific traditions but rather to identify general patterns of interaction between religious ethics, institutional structures, and social organization. Such an approach allows for analytical neutrality while acknowledging diversity across religious contexts.

To structure the analysis, the study adopts a systems-based analytical framework, which views religion as an interconnected subsystem within society. From this perspective, religion interacts dynamically with economic, political, and cultural subsystems through feedback mechanisms, normative regulation, and institutional mediation. This framework enables the identification of religion's functions at different levels of analysis (individual, organizational, and societal).

The methodological logic of the study is summarized in Table 1, which outlines the analytical dimensions, units of analysis, and intended outcomes of the conceptual framework. **Table 1** is presented to clarify how religion is operationalized as social technology within this research.

Table 1. Methodological Framework for Conceptualizing Religion as Social Technology.

Analytical Dimension	Unit of Analysis	Methodological Approach	Expected Analytical Outcome
Normative regulation	Individuals and groups	Conceptual synthesis	Understanding behavior regulation
Institutional mediation	Religious organizations	Systems analysis	Conflict prevention mechanisms
Social integration	Communities and society	Comparative analysis	Social cohesion pathways
Risk management	Multireligious societies	Theoretical interpretation	Social risk reduction model

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

This section presents and discusses the conceptual results of the study by elaborating religion as a form of social technology that operates through identifiable mechanisms, functions, and levels of social interaction. Rather than empirical measurement, the results are expressed through analytical structuring of concepts derived from established theories in the sociology of religion, risk society, and systems theory.

3.1. Religion as Social Technology: Core Components

The first analytical result concerns the identification of religion as social technology composed of interrelated components that regulate behavior and stabilize social relations. When viewed through a systems perspective, religion does not function solely as belief or ritual but as an organized mechanism that produces predictable social outcomes through normative regulation, institutional mediation, and value internalization. These components enable religion to influence individual conduct while simultaneously shaping collective expectations within communities.

Religion operates technologically insofar as it relies on structured procedures (such as rituals, ethical codes, institutional authority, and communicative practices) that transform abstract values into socially observable behavior. This transformation is essential in plural societies where informal norms alone may be insufficient to regulate conduct across diverse value systems. The core components of religion as social technology identified in this study are summarized in **Table 2**, which clarifies how religious mechanisms translate into social outcomes.

Table 2. Core Components of Religion as Social Technology.

Component	Mechanism	Primary Social Outcome
Normative codes	Moral regulation	Behavioral predictability
Ritual practices	Symbolic integration	Social cohesion
Institutional authority	Mediation and guidance	Conflict containment
Value internalization	Identity formation	Long-term stability

3.2. Functions of Religion in Social Risk Reduction

A second major result of the analysis is the identification of religion's functional role in reducing social risks in multireligious societies. Drawing on risk society theory, social risks emerge when uncertainty, identity insecurity, and institutional instability intersect. Religion addresses these risks not by eliminating diversity but by offering interpretive frameworks that render uncertainty socially manageable.

Religious systems contribute to risk reduction by providing moral boundaries, legitimizing authority structures, and facilitating reconciliation processes during periods of crisis. In multireligious contexts, the presence of institutionalized religious dialogue and ethical convergence can mitigate the escalation of affective conflicts, which are otherwise resistant to rational negotiation. Importantly, this function does not require theological uniformity but depends on the capacity of religious institutions to promote mutual recognition and restraint.

The functional pathways through which religion contributes to social risk reduction are synthesized in **Table 3**, which links religious functions to specific categories of social risk.

Table 3. Religious Functions and Social Risk-Reduction Pathways

Religious Function	Type of Social Risk	Risk-Reduction Mechanism
Moral regulation	Normative uncertainty	Ethical guidance
Social integration	Fragmentation	Collective identity
Conflict mediation	Intergroup tension	Dialogue and reconciliation
Welfare support	Social exclusion	Community-based assistance

3.3. Levels of Operation: Micro, Meso, and Macro Dynamics

The third analytical result concerns the multilevel operation of religion as social technology. Religion simultaneously influences individuals (micro level), organizations and communities (meso level), and societies or states (macro level). At the micro level, religious values shape personal behavior, motivation, and ethical decision-making, contributing to self-regulation and social responsibility.

At the meso level, religious organizations function as mediating institutions that translate abstract doctrines into concrete social practices. These organizations often serve as platforms for education, charity, and conflict resolution, particularly in contexts where state institutions face legitimacy constraints. At the macro level, religion interacts with political and legal systems by influencing national identity, public discourse, and policy orientation, especially in post-secular societies where religion re-enters the public sphere (Habermas, 2008).

These levels are analytically distinguished in **Table 4**, which illustrates how religion's technological functions vary across social scales.

Table 4. Levels of Analysis in Religion as Social Technology.

Level	Primary Actors	Dominant Processes	Social Implications
Micro	Individuals	Value internalization	Ethical self-regulation
Meso	Religious institutions	Mediation and service	Community stability
Macro	Society and state	Legitimation and cohesion	Reduced systemic risk

3.4. Comparative Patterns Across Religious Traditions

A further result of this conceptual analysis is the identification of comparative patterns across major religious traditions without resorting to deterministic or hierarchical judgments. While religious doctrines differ substantially, many traditions share functional similarities in promoting discipline, solidarity, and moral accountability. These shared functions enable religion to interface with socio-economic systems in ways that support organizational culture, work ethics, and social trust.

Rather than asserting causal superiority of one religion over another, this study emphasizes functional equivalence: different religious traditions may fulfill similar social roles under distinct historical and cultural conditions. This approach aligns with post-secular perspectives that recognize religion as a continuing public resource rather than a declining private belief (Habermas, 2008). The comparative orientation of religious traditions as social technologies is summarized in **Table 5**.

Table 5. Functional Orientation of Major Religious Traditions

Tradition	Dominant Ethical Emphasis	Institutional Form	Typical Social Interface
Christianity	Mutual aid, humility	Church-based	Open social cooperation
Islam	Justice, regulation	Community-centered	Social order and welfare
Buddhism	Self-discipline	Monastic networks	Personal and social balance
Judaism	Law and responsibility	Diaspora institutions	Economic and social networks

3.5. Implications

The results presented above support the argument that religion functions as a structured social technology capable of reducing social risks in multireligious societies. By operating across multiple levels and through diverse mechanisms, religion contributes to social stability without necessitating cultural homogeneity. This finding reinforces the relevance of religion in contemporary governance and social development debates, particularly in societies facing fragmentation and identity-based conflict.

From a theoretical perspective, conceptualizing religion as social technology extends existing approaches in the sociology of religion by emphasizing operational mechanisms rather than doctrinal content alone. Practically, this framework offers policymakers and educators a tool for engaging religious institutions as partners in promoting social cohesion, conflict prevention, and sustainable development.

4. CONCLUSION

This article has developed a conceptual framework that positions religious activity as a form of social technology operating within multireligious societies. The analysis demonstrates that religion functions not merely as a system of beliefs, but as an organized mechanism that regulates behavior, mediates social relations, and contributes to the reduction of social risks. By identifying core components, functional pathways, and levels of operation, the study shows how religion supports social cohesion, ethical regulation, and conflict prevention in contexts characterized by diversity and uncertainty. The findings highlight that religious traditions, despite doctrinal differences, often perform functionally equivalent roles in stabilizing social systems. Conceptualizing religion as social technology enriches the general theory of religions and provides a structured analytical lens for examining religion's public role in contemporary society. This framework offers practical relevance for policymakers, educators, and social institutions seeking to promote social harmony, sustainable development, and peaceful coexistence in pluralistic environments.

5. AUTHORS' NOTE

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest regarding the publication of this article. Authors confirmed that the paper was free of plagiarism.

6. REFERENCES

- Adeoye, M.A. (2022). Book review on soteriology: An African outlook (a historical study of the Christian doctrine of salvation from an African perspective). *ASEAN Journal of Religion, Education, and Society*, 1(1), 49-54.

- Adeoye, M.A., and Dauda, M.A. (2023). Biblical injunction why Christians need to participate in politics: An exegetical interpretation of Matthew 5:14-16. *ASEAN Journal of Religion, Education, and Society*, 2(1), 19-28.
- Al Husaeni, D.F., and Al Husaeni, D.N. (2022). Computational bibliometric analysis of research on science and Islam with VOSviewer: Scopus database in 2012 to 2022. *ASEAN Journal of Religion, Education, and Society*, 1(1), 39-48.
- Camral, K.A.M. (2022). Acceptability and participation of Muslim students of the University of Southern Mindanao on the GPH-MILF peace process. *ASEAN Journal of Religion, Education, and Society*, 1(1), 1-16.
- Filatov, S., and Lunkin, R. (2006). Statistics on religion in Russia: the reality behind the figures. *Religion, State and Society*, 34(1), 33-49.
- Freeze, G. L. (1996). Subversive piety: Religion and the political crisis in late Imperial Russia. *The Journal of Modern History*, 68(2), 308-350.
- Glushchenko, V.V. (2023). The mechanism of ensuring the sustainability of the development of the higher education system during the formation of a new technological order. *ASEAN Journal of Educational Research and Technology*, 2(2), 153-162.
- Glushchenko, V.V. (2025). Advanced engineering schools as innovation hubs in post-industrial higher education: Institutional, pedagogical, and business model perspectives. *ASEAN Journal of Educational Research and Technology*, 4(2), 187-194.
- Glushchenko, V.V., and Inei, Y.H.A. (2024). Theoretical aspects of creating a scientific and educational platform for information and trading systems. *ASEAN Journal of Educational Research and Technology*, 3(2), 135-146.
- Greeley, A. (1994). A religious revival in Russia?. *Journal for the scientific study of religion*, 33(3), 253-272.
- Habermas, J. (2008). Notes on post-secular society. *New Perspectives Quarterly*, 25(4), 17–29.
- Jamiu, I.M. (2022a). Boko Haram’s misinterpretation of Islam: A challenge to national unity and development. *ASEAN Journal of Religion, Education, and Society*, 1(1), 17-24.
- Jamiu, L.A. (2022b). The weaknesses of the curriculum in the teaching of Arabic (a Muslim language) as a foreign language. *ASEAN Journal of Religion, Education, and Society*, 1(1), 31-38.
- Jamiu, L.A. (2023). Linguistics and semantics difficulties in Arabic language among senior secondary schools in Ekiti, Nigeria. *ASEAN Journal of Religion, Education, and Society*, 1(1), 1-8
- Kamraju, M. (2023). Yoga and its spirituality. *ASEAN Journal of Religion, Education, and Society*, 2(1), 29-32.
- Köllner, T. (2020). Orthodox religion, politics, secularity and the introduction of new festive days in contemporary Russia. The case of the day of family, love, and faithfulness. *Etnografia e Ricerca Qualitativa*, 13(1), 119-141.

- Krindatch, A. D. (2006). Changing relationships between Religion, the State, and Society in Russia. *GeoJournal*, 67(4), 267-282.
- Oke, O.E., and Adesegun, A.A. (2023). Factors that AID the proliferation of monarchies. *ASEAN Journal of Religion, Education, and Society*, 2(1), 9-18.
- Organia, E.G., de la Peña, C.B., Migallos, S.F., Baleña, S.B.L., Tee, E.A., Dagoc Jr., J.C., Contaoi, M.R.Y., Alave, R.D.R.R., and Zaragoza, M.A. (2023). The study of Muslim culture: basis for culturally congruent nursing education. *ASEAN Journal of Religion, Education, and Society*, 2(1), 33-58.
- Pares, B. (1943). Religion in Russia. *Foreign Affairs*, 21(4), 635-644.
- Ragadhita, R., and Nandiyanto, A.B.D. (2022). Correlation of science in Al-Quran perspective. *ASEAN Journal of Religion, Education, and Society*, 1(1), 25-30.
- White, S., McAllister, I., and Kryshatanovskaya, O. G. (1994). Religion and politics in postcommunist Russia. *Religion, State and Society: The Keston Journal*, 22(1), 73-88.