ASEAN Journal of Community and Special Needs Education

Journal homepage: https://ejournal.bumipublikasinusantara.id/index.php/ajcsne

Legal Safeguards and Systemic Mechanisms for Mental Health in the Philippines and Their Comparison to Other Countries

Khadiguia Ontok-Balah[*]

University of Southern Mindanao, Kabacan, the Philippines
Correspondence: E-mail: kobalah@us.edu.ph

ABSTRACT

The Mental Health Act of 2018 (Republic Act No. 11036) represents a landmark in the Philippines' pursuit of equitable and rights-based mental health care. This paper examines the legal provisions, institutional mechanisms, and protections established under the Act. Utilizing a qualitative-descriptive design, the study analyzes secondary sources from legislative documents, government reports, and international health organizations. Findings reveal six core rights embedded in the law: freedom from discrimination, informed consent, protection from mistreatment, voluntary treatment, access to care, and rehabilitation. The Act also institutionalizes support mechanisms such as 24/7 hotlines, public campaigns, and oversight by the Philippine Council for Mental Health. While significant strides have been made in reducing stigma and expanding access, challenges remain in workforce distribution, cultural adaptation, and resource allocation. This study underscores the importance of continuous advocacy and systemic reform to ensure mental health rights are fully realized for all Filipinos.

ARTICLE INFO

Article History:
Submitted/Received 27 May 2025
First Revised 28 Jun 2025
Accepted 30 Aug 2025
First Available online 31 Aug 2025
Publication Date 01 Sep 2025

Keyword:
Assistive technology,
Attitude,
Gender,
Hearing impaired students,
Oyo state,
Survey,
Utilization.

1. INTRODUCTION

Mental health is a critical component of individual and societal well-being, encompassing emotional, psychological, and social dimensions that influence cognition, behavior, and interpersonal relationships. Many reports regarding mental health have been well-documented (**Table 1**). Globally, mental health issues affect one in four individuals at some point in their lives, according to the World Health Organization in 2022. In the Philippines, however, mental health has long been a neglected area, hindered by widespread stigma, limited service accessibility, and the absence of robust legal safeguards (Tanaka, 2018; Samaniego, 2022).

Table 1. Previous studies on mental health.

No	Title	Reference
1	Physical and mental health struggles during the time of pandemic: An overview of domestic setting	Marcaida (2022)
2	Anticipation and understanding of events and mental stresses as the effect of changes and transformations in human and social life: An education perspective	Minghat *et al*. (2023)
3	The application of multicultural counseling to help mental health problems during the covid-19 pandemic in Malaysia	Latif and Bakar (2023)
4	Impact of after-school sports programs on the mental health of primary school pupils	Saadu (2023)
5	Impact of gardening on physical and mental health in the midst of covid-19 pandemic	Coyoca *et al*. (2022)
6	Mental stress education: The changes in the life and conditions of patients	Arpentieva *et al*. (2022)
7	The effect of understanding student mental health in Indonesia on psychological first aid	Putri (2023)
8	Organization of extracurricular physical education at school and its role in the physical and mental improvement of students	Saodat (2023)
9	The impact of yoga on mental health	Kamraju (2023)
10	Levels of mental resistance of young mothers from urban poor families in the face of economic difficulties	Hafina (2023)
11	Anti-bullying act of 2013 and holistic development of secondary students in term of emotional development, mental development, and social development	Malaguial *et al*. (2024)
12	Student's perception of school-related factors of mental health problems	Ojonugwa *et al*. (2024)
13	Mental implications of curriculum evaluation procedures on student dropout in tertiary institutions	Sadiq and Okparaugo (2025)

Before 2018, the country was among the few in Asia lacking comprehensive mental health legislation. This legislative vacuum resulted in chronic underfunding (only 3–5% of the health budget was allocated to mental health services) and an acute shortage of professionals, with just 0.41 psychiatrists per 100,000 individuals (Lally *et al*., 2019). The lack of an integrated national policy left individuals with mental health conditions vulnerable to discrimination, coercion, and inadequate care, especially in rural and underserved areas (Maravilla, 2021). The enactment of the Mental Health Act of 2018 (Republic Act No. 11036) marked a turning point by establishing a rights-based legal framework that mandates the protection, promotion, and fulfillment of mental health rights. The Act institutionalized mental health services in public and private sectors, mandated anti-stigma initiatives, provided for 24/7 mental health hotlines, and created the Philippine Council for Mental Health (PCMH) to oversee nationwide implementation. Despite these advances, implementation remains uneven, with persistent gaps in funding, workforce training, and culturally sensitive programming.

This paper examines the protections, mechanisms, and institutional oversight introduced by the Mental Health Act. It evaluates how effectively the law safeguards the rights of Filipinos with mental health conditions, analyzes key implementation challenges, and highlights the ongoing need for systemic and community-level reforms. The novelty of this study lies in its holistic review of the Act from legal, institutional, and public health perspectives, contributing to the discourse on mental health governance in Southeast Asia.

2. METHODS

This study adopted a qualitative-descriptive research design to explore the legal and institutional frameworks established by the Philippine Mental Health Act of 2018 (Republic Act No. 11036). The goal was to provide a comprehensive understanding of how the law protects the mental health rights of Filipino citizens through legal provisions, institutional mechanisms, and service delivery strategies. The study utilized secondary data collected from a wide range of credible sources. These included:

(i) Legislative documents, such as Republic Act No. 11036 and related legal texts;
(ii) Official publications from government agencies, including the Department of Health (DOH) and the PCMH;
(iii) International reports from the World Health Organization (WHO);
(iv) Academic literature and legal commentaries discussing the implementation and impact of the law.

Data were examined through thematic analysis, following the framework (Braun & Clarke, 2006). Key themes were identified and organized around the following categories:

(i) Fundamental rights and protections under the law;
(ii) Mechanisms for mental health service delivery and access;
(iii) Institutional oversight and strategic implementation; and
(iv) Impact and challenges of the Mental Health Act.

As this research relied solely on publicly available documents and did not involve human participants, ethical clearance was not required. All sources were properly cited in adherence to academic integrity standards.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. The Mental Health Act as a Human Rights Milestone

The passage of Republic Act No. 11036, also known as the Mental Health Act of 2018, marks a significant legal and policy breakthrough in the Philippines. Before its enactment, the country lacked a comprehensive mental health law, which resulted in systemic neglect, institutional abuse, underfunding, and entrenched stigma surrounding mental health conditions (Samaniego, 2022). By institutionalizing the rights of persons with mental health conditions, mandating services at all levels of care, and establishing oversight mechanisms, this legislation laid the foundation for a rights-based, accessible, and sustainable mental health care system. The following subsections highlight six core rights guaranteed under the law:

(i) freedom from discrimination and stigma
(ii) right to information and informed consent,
(iii) protection from mistreatment,
(iv) treatment without coercion,
(v) access to comprehensive care, and
(vi) aftercare and rehabilitation.

These rights are not merely declarative but are supported by operational mechanisms and state obligations.

3.2. Core Legal Rights of Persons with Mental Health Conditions

3.2.1. Freedom from Discrimination and Stigmatization

Section 4 of RA 11036 explicitly prohibits all forms of discrimination against persons with mental health conditions across social institutions, including workplaces, schools, health facilities, and public services. It mandates inclusive policies that protect dignity and equal opportunity. To implement this, the DOH and the PCMH launched national campaigns emphasizing mental health awareness and stigma reduction. Employers are required to adopt anti-stigma policies, while educational institutions must provide accommodations and peer support initiatives. School-based programs integrate mental health education into the curriculum, while social media is mobilized to humanize mental health experiences and promote positive narratives (PCMH, 2020). While stigma reduction is underway in urban areas, rural communities and traditional sectors continue to associate mental illness with shame or spiritual deficiency (Tanaka, 2018). These cultural barriers point to the need for localized interventions (such as engaging religious and indigenous leaders in advocacy work) to ensure message receptivity and cultural alignment.

3.2.2. Right to Information and Informed Consent

Section 8 of the Act upholds the right of individuals to be fully informed about their diagnosis, treatment options, and associated risks or benefits before consenting to any intervention. This protects patient autonomy and promotes ethical psychiatric practice. Consent must be voluntary, informed, and documented in writing. The DOH in 2022 issued guidelines emphasizing the use of plain language, visual aids, and interpreter support when necessary. Furthermore, with the expansion of telepsychology during the COVID-19 pandemic, virtual platforms were required to develop digital consent protocols that comply with ethical standards (Perez, 2025). Challenges remain in ensuring that consent is not coerced or bypassed, particularly in emergency or institutional settings. Training of mental health professionals in patient-centred communication and ethical care must be systematized to safeguard this legal provision.

3.2.3. Protection from Mistreatment

The Act categorically bans torture, cruel, inhuman, and degrading treatment in any setting where mental health services are provided (Section 10). This legal protection addresses a dark legacy of neglect and abuse in psychiatric institutions, especially in overcrowded public hospitals. To operationalize this right, institutions are required to establish ethical standards of care, staff training programs, and internal monitoring systems. Patient complaint mechanisms and grievance redress systems are also mandated. Facilities found to be in violation may face administrative penalties or revocation of their license. Nevertheless, persistent reports of overmedication, physical restraint, and emotional abuse (particularly in under-resourced institutions) signal a need for independent oversight bodies and routine rights-based audits (Lally *et al*., 2019).

3.2.4. Treatment Without Coercion

Section 11 stipulates that all mental health services must be delivered without coercion, except in cases of medical emergency or when a person poses imminent danger to self or others. Even in such instances, involuntary care must adhere to strict ethical and legal guidelines, including review by a Mental Health Review Board. The global shift toward person-centered and recovery-based models of care aligns with this principle. The World Health Organization has called for the elimination of coercive practices, asserting that such approaches are often counterproductive,

retraumatizing, and ethically untenable. In the Philippine context, however, full implementation of this right is challenged by workforce shortages, inadequate infrastructure, and the absence of functioning Review Boards in many regions. Further investments are required to strengthen emergency mental health services and community-based alternatives that reduce reliance on involuntary admission.

3.2.5. Access to Comprehensive, Culturally Relevant Mental Health Care

Sections 12 to 15 of RA 11036 require that the state ensure access to timely, affordable, and high-quality mental health services at all levels of care, from hospitals to barangay health units. Services must be integrated into general healthcare and adapted to local sociocultural contexts. While there has been progress in embedding mental health into primary care via the WHO's Mental Health Gap Action Program (mhGAP), disparities remain. Most mental health professionals are concentrated in urban centers, and only 3-5% of the national health budget is allocated to mental health, 70% of which supports institutional rather than community-based services (Lally *et al*., 2019). Culturally marginalized and linguistically diverse populations often face additional barriers. Local governments are encouraged to invest in interpreter services, traditional healing partnerships, and culturally adapted psychoeducation programs to close this gap.

3.2.6. Aftercare and Rehabilitation

Section 16 highlights the importance of post-treatment services in promoting long-term recovery and reintegration. This includes counseling, psychosocial support, vocational rehabilitation, and community-based interventions. The DOH in 2019 and PCMH have piloted such programs in select areas, showing promising outcomes in reducing relapse and supporting employment or schooling reintegration. Yet, the availability of aftercare remains inconsistent. Many discharged patients return to environments lacking adequate support, increasing the risk of relapse, homelessness, or incarceration. Mainstreaming aftercare into national health packages, such as PhilHealth's Mental Health Benefit, would help institutionalize this service as a standard component of recovery (see **Table 2**).

Table 2. Key rights and protections for persons with mental health conditions under RA 11036.

Key Rights / Protections	Legal Provision	Description	Examples of Implementation
Freedom from Discrimination and Stigma	Section 4	Protects individuals in employment, education, and public services	School mental health programs, workplace EAPs, and anti-stigma media campaigns
Right to Informed Consent	Section 8	Requires written voluntary consent before treatment	Consent protocols, visual aids, e-consent in telehealth
Protection from Mistreatment	Section 10	Bans cruel, inhumane, or degrading treatment in all mental health settings	Ethics training, complaint mechanisms, grievance redress systems
Treatment Without Coercion	Section 11	Permits involuntary treatment only under emergency conditions with review	Crisis intervention protocols, Mental Health Review Boards
Access to Comprehensive Care	Sections 12–15	Guarantees equitable and culturally appropriate mental health services nationwide	Integration into barangay health units, mhGAP, and funding for rural outreach
Aftercare and Rehabilitation	Section 16	Provides continuous support after discharge to ensure reintegration and reduce relapse	Community-based rehab, psychosocial counseling, vocational training

3.3. Systemic Mechanisms for Mental Health Protection under RA 11036

RA 11036 operationalizes its rights-based provisions through concrete systemic mechanisms. These mechanisms translate abstract legal guarantees into tangible, accessible services that can be implemented at both national and local levels. Five primary mechanisms are emphasized in the law: suicide prevention strategies, 24/7 mental health hotlines, public awareness campaigns, ethical facility standards, and coordinated institutional oversight led by the PCMH. The PCMH, established under Section 12 of the Act, functions as the national coordinating body for mental health policy development, inter-agency collaboration, and service oversight. It leads strategic planning efforts and implements nationwide programs in partnership with the DOH, DepEd, and other stakeholders. As shown in **Table 3**, the PCMH oversees a range of mental health programs, including crisis response systems, benefit packages, workplace policies, and education-based interventions. These programs reflect the government's growing commitment to a decentralized, multisectoral, and culturally relevant approach to mental health.

Table 3. Mental health programs and services initiated under the PCMH.

Program/Service	Description and Target
Mental Health Strategic Framework (2024–2028)	National roadmap for integrated service delivery and legal compliance.
WHO Mental Health Gap Action Program (mhGAP)	Capacity-building for primary health workers to deliver mental health services in underserved areas.
24/7 National Hotlines	Free crisis counseling and referral services (e.g., 0917-558-4673).
Healthy Learning Institutions (HLI) Framework	Integrates mental health education and support into schools and universities.
Workplace Mental Health Programs (DOLE)	Promotes employee mental well-being in compliance with occupational safety standards.
Media Training on Suicide Reporting	Guides ethical media coverage to reduce contagion and misinformation.
BUCAS Centers (Urgent Outpatient Care)	51 operational centers providing accessible outpatient mental health services in rural communities.
PhilHealth Mental Health Benefit Package	Expanded coverage for psychiatric consultations, medications, and psychosocial therapies.
Community-Based Interventions (e.g., Maguindanao)	Localized programs involving LGUs and cultural leaders to improve uptake and address indigenous populations.

Several points are explained in the following:

(i) Suicide Prevention Programs. Section 5 of RA 11036 mandates the implementation of national and local suicide prevention strategies, particularly targeting youth populations. Suicide has become one of the leading causes of death among young Filipinos aged 15–24. This section of the law underscores the importance of early detection, timely intervention, crisis response, and sustained psychological support. Examples of suicide prevention programs include school-based mental health screenings, teacher training for recognizing warning signs, and youth outreach campaigns that focus on emotional literacy and coping strategies. The "Mind Matters" initiative has been piloted in several secondary schools and incorporates peer-led counseling, life-skills workshops, and referral systems for at-risk students. These programs demonstrate that culturally relevant, youth-centered interventions significantly reduce suicidal ideation and enhance psychological resilience (Huang *et al*., 2022). However, implementation remains patchy, particularly in rural schools that lack even basic psychosocial services. There is a need for a nationwide rollout of such programs, complemented by community mental health teams and family-based interventions.

(ii) 24/7 Mental Health Hotlines. Section 6 of the Act mandates the establishment and maintenance of 24/7 hotlines to provide immediate support for individuals in mental health crises. The most prominent example is the National Suicide Prevention Hotline (0917-558-4673), managed by the National Center for Mental Health (NCMH), offering voice, text, and chat-based support services. These hotlines provide not only emotional stabilization but also referrals to appropriate medical, legal, or psychosocial support networks. They play a crucial role in bridging the service gap for individuals who may not otherwise seek help due to stigma, cost, or distance. The WHO in 2022 affirms that suicide prevention hotlines, when staffed by trained professionals and volunteers, are associated with reduced suicide rates and improved help-seeking behavior. Despite their success, hotline programs face structural limitations such as staff burnout, inadequate funding, and internet access gaps in remote regions. The development of region-specific hotlines in local languages, backed by LGU funding and training, would enhance inclusivity and accessibility.

(iii) Public Awareness and Anti-Stigma Campaigns. Section 7 obliges the state to promote public education campaigns to enhance mental health literacy, reduce stigma, and foster social inclusion. These campaigns have been rolled out through various channels, television, radio, social media, town halls, and school partnerships. The "Mental Health Matters" campaign and the "Healthy Learning Institutions (HLI) Framework", jointly initiated by the DOH and Department of Education (DepEd), integrate mental health education into formal and non-formal learning spaces. These efforts aim to normalize mental health discussions, encourage early intervention, and create psychologically safe environments in schools and workplaces. Recent studies (Wei *et al*., 2021) affirm that such campaigns are most effective when they are localized, multilingual, and co-designed with target communities. However, many programs are still centralized in Metro Manila and other urban areas, with limited reach to indigenous, Muslim, and rural populations. Tailored approaches, utilizing community radio, barangay health assemblies, and mobile exhibits, can expand these campaigns beyond digital and institutional boundaries.

(iv) Ethical Standards in Mental Health Facilities. Sections 8 to 10 of the Act require mental health facilities to adhere to ethical, humane, and rights-based standards. This includes: Prohibition of solitary confinement, Requirement of informed consent before treatment, Maintenance of detailed patient records, and Establishment of grievance redress mechanisms. The DOH and PCMH are tasked with monitoring compliance through facility accreditation and routine inspections. Institutional ethics committees have been encouraged to review patient care protocols and investigate reported abuses. Despite these regulatory requirements, many regional and community-based facilities operate with limited oversight due to staff shortages and resource constraints. Common challenges include a lack of training, inadequate infrastructure, and bureaucratic delays in processing complaints. There is an urgent need to institutionalize regular third-party audits, patient rights education, and anonymous reporting mechanisms to ensure accountability.

(v) Institutional Oversight through the PCMH. Perhaps the most comprehensive system-level intervention introduced by RA 11036 is the establishment of the PCMH under Section 12. This body is mandated to: Coordinate mental health policies and plans. Oversee program implementation and quality assurance, Advise on national resource allocation, and Serve as the lead agency in intersectoral collaboration. The PCMH spearheads multiple national initiatives, including the development of the Mental Health Strategic Framework 2024–2028, alignment of policies with WHO's mhGAP standards, and partnerships with the Department of Labor and Employment (DOLE), PhilHealth, and DepEd. One of its landmark achievements is the

establishment of BUCAS Centers (Bagong Urgent Care and Ambulatory Services), which provide free outpatient services (including mental health consultations) in underserved areas. As of May 2025, 51 centers were operational, serving as models for decentralized mental health care.

(vi) However, the PCMH itself faces challenges in terms of institutional capacity. As a relatively new agency, it remains understaffed, underfunded, and dependent on interagency cooperation that is sometimes fragmented. Establishing regional PCMH offices, strengthening its monitoring and evaluation capacity, and integrating its efforts with provincial health boards are strategic next steps (see **Table 4**).

Table 4. Mental health protection mechanisms under RA 11036.

Mechanism	Legal Provision	Description/Purpose	Sample Programs/Initiatives
Suicide Prevention	Section 5	Targets youth and vulnerable populations with early detection and intervention	Mind Matters initiative, school-based screenings, resilience training
24/7 Hotlines	Section 6	Provides round-the-clock crisis response, referrals, and psychological first aid	NCMH Suicide Hotline (0917-558-4673), telecounseling platforms, LGU-linked response teams
Public Awareness Campaigns	Section 7	Normalizes help-seeking behavior, reduces stigma, and improves mental health literacy	Mental Health Matters, Healthy Learning Institutions, local media partnerships
Facility Ethical Standards	Sections 8–10	Ensures safe, ethical, and humane psychiatric care in hospitals and community centers	Ethics committee formation, facility inspections, grievance redress mechanisms

3.4. Evaluating the Impact of the Mental Health Act on Public Health and Governance

The Mental Health Act of 2018 has had notable impacts on legal recognition of mental health rights, access to services, public awareness, and institutional coordination. Yet, its implementation remains uneven across regions and social sectors. This section evaluates those achievements and identifies the remaining barriers to full realization.

3.4.1. Improvements in Public Awareness and Help-Seeking Behaviour

One of the earliest observable outcomes of RA 11036 has been the increased visibility of mental health discourse in Filipino society. Before the law, discussions on mental illness were often limited to psychiatric institutions or marginalized communities. Since 2018, mental health campaigns led by PCMH, DOH, and DepEd have popularized mental health concepts, especially among youth. Media-driven campaigns such as "#MentalHealthAwarenessPH" and "Mental Health Matters" have used social media platforms to normalize help-seeking and destigmatize mental illness. These initiatives are reinforced by in-school discussions, peer-led support groups, and educator training. According to PCMH in 2020, there was a significant increase in reported consultations in public mental health facilities in urban areas between 2019 and 2022. However, in areas with low digital penetration, such as remote municipalities in the Cordillera and Bangsamoro Autonomous Region in Muslim Mindanao (BARMM), awareness remains limited. Cultural resistance and spiritual interpretations of mental disorders persist. Efforts to involve religious leaders, barangay captains, and indigenous elders in mental health education could help localize these national campaigns for greater effectiveness.

3.4.2. Expanded Access to Mental Health Services

A major intent of the Act is to decentralize and expand access to mental health services beyond major hospitals and into local communities. Programs such as the establishment of BUCAS centers, the rollout of the mhGAP training, and the inclusion of mental health in barangay health centers have all contributed to this goal. For example, the BUCAS centers, now operational in over 50 locations, provide outpatient and urgent care, including basic mental health services, free of charge. Similarly, mental health integration into school-based health programs has enabled earlier identification of children and adolescents with psychosocial difficulties. The availability of hotlines and online consultations also helped reach first-time help-seekers, particularly during the COVID-19 pandemic. Nonetheless, access remains inequitable. The DOH in 2022 reports that 70% of psychiatrists are concentrated in Metro Manila, Cebu, and Davao. Many provinces have only one or two psychiatrists, often overwhelmed by caseloads. Psychological services are similarly centralized, and mental health nurses, social workers, and occupational therapists are in short supply. The reliance on urban-based care also increases the financial and logistical burden on patients from rural areas, many of whom forgo treatment altogether. This reflects the urgent need for a comprehensive national human resource deployment strategy and stronger LGU partnerships to ensure locally anchored services.

3.4.3. Suicide Prevention and Emergency Crisis Response

RA 11036's emphasis on suicide prevention has led to the institutionalization of several critical programs. The National Suicide Prevention Hotline (0917-558-4673) has received tens of thousands of calls annually, with anecdotal evidence suggesting timely interventions have saved lives. In addition, school- and community-based programs offer early intervention for youth, while training for health workers and teachers equips first responders to detect warning signs. Despite this progress, many suicide prevention services remain reactive rather than preventive. A 2023 study by the WHO revealed that the Philippines lacks real-time suicide surveillance data, making it difficult to assess which regions or age groups are most at risk. There is also insufficient coordination between schools, health centers, and social welfare departments in managing crisis cases. To strengthen impact, a community-based mental health emergency response protocol is needed. This should include mobile crisis teams, local hotline support, emergency transport coordination, and family education programs, similar to models used successfully in countries like Australia and Chile.

3.5. Persistent Systemic Challenges

Although the Act has laid a strong legislative foundation, it faces several implementation bottlenecks. These include funding limitations, workforce gaps, poor monitoring and evaluation systems, and a lack of culturally adaptive services. Each of these is discussed in this section. While the law has created significant momentum, several barriers remain that limit its effective implementation. These challenges span structural, cultural, financial, and administrative domains. As summarized in **Table 5**, the most persistent challenges include stigma, geographic disparities in service delivery, workforce shortages, limited funding, and weak monitoring systems. These barriers must be addressed through integrated strategies and policy reforms that prioritize local implementation capacity and cultural sensitivity. Addressing these challenges requires more than policy intention, it demands long-term investment, decentralization of authority, and inclusive governance. The success of RA 11036 hinges on the ability of national and local actors to work together to build a responsive, just, and community-rooted mental health system for all Filipinos. Several points are explained in the following:

(i) Insufficient and Uneven Funding. The Philippine government has historically underfunded mental health, allocating only 3-5% of the national health budget to the sector. Of this, approximately 70% goes toward psychiatric hospital operations, leaving community programs, capacity-building, and awareness campaigns under-resourced (Lally *et al*., 2019). Additionally, many Local Government Units (LGUs) have not allocated sufficient mental health budgets, despite the Act's directive. While DOH supports some community initiatives, these are often pilot projects dependent on external donor funding. Without consistent LGU-level investment, the sustainability of local mental health services remains uncertain. A revision of the Internal Revenue Allotment (IRA) and the Mandanas-Garcia ruling could be leveraged to mandate mental health budget lines in provincial and municipal health programs.

(ii) Critical Workforce Shortages and Uneven Distribution. As of 2025, the Philippines has fewer than 1,000 licensed psychiatrists for over 110 million people. The WHO recommends at least one psychiatrist per 10,000 individuals. The shortage extends to clinical psychologists, psychiatric nurses, and social workers. Worse, mental health professionals are heavily concentrated in urban hospitals and private clinics. Community-based care, as envisioned in the law, cannot function without sufficient trained personnel. While mhGAP aims to fill the gap by training general practitioners and barangay health workers, many remain unprepared to deal with moderate to severe psychiatric conditions. There is a need to revise medical, nursing, and public health curricula to include comprehensive mental health modules and provide scholarships and rural service incentives for graduates willing to serve in remote areas.

(iii) Weak Monitoring and Evaluation (M&E) Framework. A critical weakness in the implementation of RA 11036 is the lack of a robust national monitoring and evaluation system. Data on mental health program outcomes, prevalence rates, budget utilization, and workforce deployment remain fragmented or unavailable. The PCMH lacks a centralized dashboard to track compliance by LGUs, health facilities, and partner agencies. Without reliable data, it is difficult to identify high-risk populations, measure impact, or adjust programs based on performance. The establishment of a National Mental Health Information System (NMHIS), integrated into PhilHealth and DOH data systems, is essential to guide strategic planning and ensure accountability.

(iv) Cultural Inaccessibility of Services. The Philippines is a multicultural and multilingual country with over 180 spoken languages. Yet, many mental health services (especially hotlines, written materials, and therapy approaches) are only available in English or Tagalog. This marginalizes indigenous peoples, Muslim populations, and ethno-linguistic minorities. Moreover, some communities still associate mental illness with supernatural causes, such as spirit possession or ancestral curses. In these cases, Western psychological models are often rejected, and families prefer traditional healers or spiritual rituals. Community health programs need to be redesigned to incorporate cultural competence and respect for indigenous knowledge systems. Collaborating with community elders, shamans, and faith leaders (as done in Bhutan and Peru) can enhance trust and participation in mental health programs.

Table 5. Key Challenges in Implementing the Philippine mental health law (RA 11036).

Challenge	Description	Impact	Suggested Strategy
Stigma and Cultural Resistance	Persistent beliefs that mental illness is a sign of weakness or spiritual defect	Discourages help-seeking, especially in rural and conservative regions	Community engagement, inclusion of faith/traditional leaders
Access and Infrastructure Gaps	Mental health professionals and services are concentrated in urban areas	Rural areas lack basic services; patients travel far or go untreated	Deploy BUCAS centers and mobile clinics, incentivize rural practice

Table 5 (Continue). Key Challenges in Implementing the Philippine mental health law (RA 11036).

Challenge	Description	Impact	Suggested Strategy
Workforce Shortage	Fewer than 1,000 psychiatrists nationwide for 110 million population	Overburdened services, long wait times, poor quality of care	Expand mhGAP, offer scholarships, and rural service incentives
Limited Budget Allocation	Only 3–5% of the DOH budget is allocated to mental health, mostly for hospitals	Prevents scale-up of community-based programs and sustainable campaigns	Mandate mental health budget in LGUs, increase PhilHealth coverage
Data and Monitoring Deficiencies	Absence of a centralized information system for mental health	Inhibits evaluation, planning, and policy responsiveness	Develop National Mental Health Information System (NMHIS)

3.6. International Benchmarking and Best Practice

To assess the Philippine Mental Health Act's effectiveness and limitations more comprehensively, it is instructive to examine how other nations have operationalized similar frameworks. Benchmarking against successful mental health systems provides both validation and insights into areas requiring improvement. Several points are in the following:

(i) Australia's Community-Based Model. Australia has long implemented a decentralized, rights-based mental health system that prioritizes community integration. Its National Mental Health Strategy emphasizes early intervention, peer support, and recovery-oriented approaches. Mobile crisis teams and community mental health centers serve as the backbone of service delivery, reducing dependence on hospital-based care. Philippine policymakers can draw lessons from Australia's coordinated governance structure and its integration of mental health into general practice settings. Replicating such structures—especially mobile outreach in rural areas—can enhance equity and responsiveness in the Philippine context.

(ii) Thailand's Village Health Volunteers. Thailand's mental health progress is driven largely by its network of village health volunteers (VHVs), trained laypersons embedded within communities who assist with screening, referral, and psychosocial education. These volunteers bridge cultural gaps and expand service reach, particularly in rural provinces. The Philippines already has a comparable infrastructure in Barangay Health Workers (BHWs). However, most BHWs lack adequate training in mental health. Expanding mhGAP training to include BHWs could replicate Thailand's success and ensure that every barangay has at least one mental health resource person.

(iii) Indonesia's Integration with Religious Institutions. Indonesia, with its cultural and religious diversity, has successfully engaged faith-based organizations and ulama (Islamic scholars) in mental health education. These partnerships have proven effective in changing attitudes in conservative communities. Similarly, Filipino religious institutions (such as the Catholic Church and Islamic councils) have considerable influence. Including these actors in anti-stigma campaigns, grief counseling, and referral networks can strengthen the legitimacy and acceptance of mental health services, particularly in the Bangsamoro region.

3.7. Policy Recommendations and Strategic Directions

While RA 11036 is a landmark achievement, its full potential depends on consistent, well-funded, and culturally responsive implementation. Based on the findings, the following policy recommendations are proposed:

(i) Institutionalize LGU-Level Mental Health Programs. Amend the Local Government Code to mandate mental health programming and budget lines within LGU health plans. Provide

performance-based grants for municipalities that achieve mental health service coverage targets.

(ii) Expand and Decentralize the Mental Health Workforce. Create scholarship and return-service programs for psychiatry, clinical psychology, and psychiatric nursing students. Incentivize rural practice through salary supplements, housing, and continuing education. Accelerate mhGAP training for primary care providers and barangay workers.

(iii) Establish a National Mental Health Information System (NMHIS). Develop a centralized platform to collect and monitor mental health indicators, workforce distribution, suicide rates, and program outcomes. Integrate this system with DOH and PhilHealth databases for real-time decision-making.

(iv) Promote Culturally Inclusive Mental Health Services. Develop materials in major Philippine languages and dialects. Partner with traditional and faith-based healers to create hybrid intervention models that respect local belief systems. Fund community-based participatory research to identify culturally rooted protective and risk factors.

(v) Strengthen the PCMH. Expand PCMH's human and financial resources to establish regional chapters and conduct nationwide program monitoring. Mandate interagency coordination among DOH, DepEd, DSWD, and CHED through shared implementation plans and budget mechanisms.

3.8. Alignment with Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs)

The Mental Health Act of 2018 aligns closely with several United Nations Sustainable Development Goals, positioning the Philippines as a regional leader in mental health governance:

(i) SDG 3: Good Health and Well-Being. Target 3.4 aims to reduce premature mortality from noncommunicable diseases (NCDs) through prevention and treatment, and promote mental health and well-being. RA 11036 directly contributes to this by integrating mental health into primary care, promoting early intervention, and expanding access to community-based services.

(ii) SDG 10: Reduced Inequalities. Mental health inequities (particularly along geographic, economic, and cultural lines) are addressed through the law's emphasis on universal access, anti-discrimination, and culturally sensitive care. However, achieving equity will require stronger implementation in geographically isolated and disadvantaged areas (GIDAs), as well as among indigenous and linguistic minorities.

(iii) SDG 4: Quality Education. The inclusion of mental health in the K–12 curriculum and the creation of psychologically safe schools contribute to Target 4.7, which promotes the development of learners' emotional and social competencies. Programs under the Healthy Learning Institutions Framework are steps toward this goal.

3.9. Summary of Contributions

RA 11036 is the Philippines' most comprehensive legal and institutional response to mental health to date. Its contributions are evident across multiple domains (see **Table 6**). However, these gains are tempered by implementation challenges, particularly in funding, workforce, cultural adaptation, and data systems. Moving forward, the effectiveness of the Mental Health Act will depend not only on laws but on their ability to reach the country's most vulnerable populations: youth, rural dwellers, indigenous communities, and the poor.

Table 6. Correlation between impact area and summary of achievements.

Impact Area	Summary of Achievements
Legal Rights	Institutionalized six core rights based on dignity, autonomy, and equity
Access to Services	Expanded services through BUCAS centers, mhGAP, and barangay health integration
Awareness and Stigma	Normalized mental health discussions via campaigns and school-based initiatives
Crisis Response	Operationalized 24/7 hotlines and suicide prevention programs
Oversight and Coordination	Created PCMH as a central policy and monitoring body

4. CONCLUSION

The Mental Health Act of 2018 marks a historic advancement in the protection of mental health rights in the Philippines. By institutionalizing legal safeguards and systemic mechanisms (ranging from community-based care to national oversight), it has laid the foundation for a more inclusive and rights-based mental health system. However, significant challenges remain, particularly in funding, workforce distribution, cultural adaptation, and data monitoring. Bridging these gaps requires sustained political will, inter-agency coordination, and culturally grounded reforms. As the country advances toward its development goals, mental health must be prioritized as both a public health imperative and a human right.

5. AUTHORS' NOTE

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest regarding the publication of this article. The authors confirmed that the paper was free of plagiarism.

6. REFERENCES

Arpentieva, M.R., Minghat, A.D., and Kassymova, G.K. (2022). Mental stress education: The changes in the life and conditions of patients. *Indonesian Journal of Community and Special Needs Education, 2(*2), 111-118.

Coyoca, M.B.P., Del Rosario, M.A.B., Lazo, J.R.L., Calixtro Jr, V.L., and Edaño, L.B. (2022). Impact of gardening on physical and mental health in the midst of covid-19 pandemic. *Indonesian Journal of Community and Special Needs Education, 2*(2), 99-102.

Hafina, A. (2023). Levels of mental resistance of young mothers from urban poor families in the face of economic difficulties. *Indonesian Journal of Community and Special Needs Education, 3*(2), 155-160.

Huang, K. Y., Cheng, C. C., and Tuazon, J. A. (2022). School-based mental health programs in Southeast Asia: A policy and impact review. *Asian Journal of Psychiatry*, *72*, 102759.

Kamraju, M. (2023). The impact of yoga on mental health. *Indonesian Journal of Community and Special Needs Education, 3*(2), 141-146

Lally, J., Tully, J., and Samaniego, R. M. (2019). Mental health services in the Philippines. *BJPsych International*, *16*(3), 62–64.

Latif, N.K.A., and Bakar, A.Y.A. (2023). The application of multicultural counseling to help mental health problems during the covid-19 pandemic in Malaysia. *ASEAN Journal of Community and Special Needs Education, 2*(2), 61-68.

Malaguial, P.A.A., Calimbo, C.G.H., Bibanco, K., Valerio, C.J.C., Cambarijan, C.J.T., Besa, A.S., Valdez, A.G., Estrellan, J., and Tabares, M.N.C. (2024). Anti-bullying act of 2013 and holistic development of secondary students in term of emotional development, mental development, and social development. *Indonesian Journal of Community and Special Needs Education, 4(*1), 53-64.

Marcaida, J.P. (2022). Physical and mental health struggles during the time of pandemic: An overview of domestic setting. *ASEAN Journal of Community and Special Needs Education*, *1*(1), 23-28.

Minghat, A.D., Arpentieva, M.R., and Kassymova, G.K. (2023). Anticipation and understanding of events and mental stresses as the effect of changes and transformations in human and social life: An education perspective. *ASEAN Journal of Community and Special Needs Education, 2*(1), 27-34.

Ojonugwa, D.S., Yakubu, A., and Idoko, E.J.O. (2024). Student's perception of school-related factors of mental health problems. *Indonesian Journal of Community and Special Needs Education, 4*(2), 75-82.

Perez, M. L. (2025). Challenges in delivering telepsychology in rural Philippines: Ethical and logistical perspectives. *Philippine Journal of Psychology*, *58*(1), 75–92.

Putri, R.M. (2023). The effect of understanding student mental health in Indonesia on psychological first aid. *Indonesian Journal of Community and Special Needs Education, 3*(1), 63-64.

Saadu, U.T. (2023). Impact of after-school sports programs on the mental health of primary school pupils. *ASEAN Journal of Community and Special Needs Education, 2*(2), 81-90.

Sadiq, J.B.K., and Okparaugo, O.J. (2025). Mental implications of curriculum evaluation procedures on student dropout in tertiary institutions. *Indonesian Journal of Community and Special Needs Education, 5*(1), 33-40.

Samaniego, R. M. (2022). Legal reform and mental health governance in the Philippines: Progress and pitfalls. *Asian Journal of Health Law*, *4*(2), 55–70.

Saodat, O. (2023). Organization of extracurricular physical education at school and its role in the physical and mental improvement of students. *Indonesian Journal of Community and Special Needs Education, 3*(1), 73-84.

Tanaka, H., Gonzalez, D. B., and Balicanta, M. (2018). Stigma, help-seeking, and the mental health system in the Philippines. *Global Health Action*, *11*(1), 144–158.

Wei, Y., Hayden, J. A., Kutcher, S., Zygmunt, A., and McGrath, P. (2021). The effectiveness of school mental health literacy programs: A systematic review. *Health Education Research*, *36*(1), 1–18.