



ASEAN Journal of Community and Special Needs Education



Journal homepage: <https://ejournal.bumipublikasinusantara.id/index.php/ajcsne>

Overcoming Barriers to Inclusive Education in New Uzbekistan: Challenges, Strategies, and Future Directions

Ibadullayeva Sharofat Nurullayevna, Boqiyeva Sevinch Elyorali, Axmadaliyeva O'g'ilcha Yusubjamol*

Chirchik State Pedagogical University, Chirchik, Uzbekistan

Correspondence: E-mail: sharofatibadullayeva1984@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

This study investigates the multifaceted challenges of implementing inclusive education in New Uzbekistan and proposes evidence-based strategies to ensure equitable educational access for all children, particularly those with disabilities. Utilizing a qualitative-descriptive approach, the research analyzes policy frameworks, infrastructure, teacher competencies, and societal attitudes. Findings indicate that major barriers, such as inadequate infrastructure, limited specialized teacher training, weak legal enforcement, and a lack of individualized support, stem from deep systemic gaps. Overcoming these obstacles requires comprehensive efforts, including infrastructural investments, policy reforms, active stakeholder engagement, and personalized student support systems. Ultimately, addressing these barriers not only fulfils human rights imperatives but also fosters a more equitable, inclusive, and sustainably developed society.

ARTICLE INFO

Article History:

Submitted/Received 19 Nov 2024

First Revised 22 Dec 2024

Accepted 23 Feb 2025

First Available online 24 Feb 2025

Publication Date 01 Mar 2025

Keyword:

Disability inclusion;

Educational reform;

Inclusive education;

Policy implementation;

Special needs support.

1. INTRODUCTION

Inclusive education has emerged as a fundamental component of international development agendas, prominently featured in Sustainable Development Goals (SDG), which advocates for inclusive, equitable, and high-quality education while promoting lifelong learning opportunities for all (Rad et al., 2022; Boeren, 2019). This global commitment highlights the necessity for education systems to accommodate the diverse needs of all learners, including children with disabilities, ethnic minorities, and those from socioeconomically disadvantaged backgrounds (Ainscow, 2016). Consequently, the principle of "Education for All" (EFA) has evolved beyond mere accessibility, now demanding meaningful participation and academic achievement within mainstream educational environments (Lerch, 2023).

Within the context of post-Soviet Central Asia, Uzbekistan is currently undergoing political, economic, and social transformations linked to broader national modernization and sustainable development agendas (Tang, 2025). The government's modernization initiative, widely recognized as "New Uzbekistan," positions educational reform as a pivotal driver of national progress (Manakov, 2021). This initiative encompasses a strategic shift from traditional, centralized educational models toward progressive, inclusive, and student-centered methodologies. Furthermore, recent legislative milestones (such as the Law on Education and the Concept of Development of the Public Education System until 2030) demonstrate a robust political commitment to embedding inclusive practices. However, a substantial divide between policy formulation and practical implementation remains evident (Lukesch et al., 2020).

Despite these commendable advancements, significant barriers to inclusive education continue to impede progress in Uzbekistan, particularly regarding infrastructural readiness, curriculum adaptation, teacher preparedness, and social integration. Numerous mainstream schools lack essential physical accessibility accommodations, such as ramps and adapted sanitation facilities, while existing curricula often remain rigid and heavily exam-oriented. Additionally, educators frequently lack the necessary training to implement differentiated instruction or effectively manage diverse classrooms. Compounding these systemic issues are deeply entrenched social attitudes (fueled by stigma, limited public awareness, and cultural misconceptions) that persistently marginalize children with disabilities and exclude them from mainstream educational opportunities (Tang, 2025).

Historically, research concerning inclusive education has predominantly focused on global or Western paradigms, frequently neglecting the specific local realities of nations like Uzbekistan, where systemic inertia and cultural resistance are formidable obstacles. Consequently, current academic discourse often lacks the context-sensitive analyses required to bridge national policy reforms with actual educational practices on the ground. It is imperative to conceptualize inclusive education not merely as a pedagogical adjustment but as a comprehensive societal transformation that actively engages multiple stakeholders, including educators, parents, policymakers, and the broader community (Rollan, 2024).

This study endeavors to address this critical literature gap by undertaking a comprehensive analysis of the challenges associated with implementing inclusive education in New Uzbekistan. The research investigates five interconnected domains: policy and legal frameworks, infrastructure and physical accessibility, teacher training and pedagogical readiness, societal attitudes, and the provision of individualized support services. By

employing a multidisciplinary perspective that integrates national policy documentation with established international frameworks, this article aims to provide actionable insights for key stakeholders in the education sector.

The novelty of this research lies in its integrative, context-sensitive methodology. Rather than treating inclusive education as an isolated intervention, this study frames it as a holistic, systemic reform requiring absolute coherence across legislative action, school infrastructure development, teacher training, community engagement, and institutional accountability. Ultimately, this research aims to contribute to the establishment of a more inclusive, equitable, and resilient educational system in Uzbekistan, one that fundamentally respects diversity, champions active participation, and empowers all learners to thrive both academically and socially.

2. METHODS

This study employed a qualitative-descriptive methodology, utilizing document analysis and a comprehensive review of existing literature concerning inclusive education in Uzbekistan. Primary data were systematically sourced from national education policy documents, strategic governmental reports, and official publications issued by the Ministry of Public Education. Furthermore, secondary data comprised peer-reviewed research articles, reports from non-governmental organizations (NGOs), and established international policy frameworks, notably UNESCO guidelines. To systematically address the research objectives, the identified challenges were categorized into five key domains: physical infrastructure, teacher training, societal awareness, legal frameworks, and the provision of individualized support services. Subsequently, a thematic analysis was conducted to identify recurring patterns and underlying root causes within these domains, thereby facilitating the formulation of comprehensive and context-specific recommendations.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The findings of this study illuminate a deeply layered and multifactorial landscape of challenges hindering the effective implementation of inclusive education in New Uzbekistan. Although progressive policy reforms and international commitments, particularly those aligned with the United Nations Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities (UNCRPD) and SDG 4, have established a robust legal and moral foundation, the reality on the ground reflects a persistent gap between policy intention and practical execution. The five central domains identified in this study (infrastructure, pedagogical readiness, societal attitudes, legislative enforcement, and individualized services) are not isolated issues but interdependent barriers that require a systems-based approach to understand how institutional, social, and organizational factors interact (Hasan *et al.*, 2017).

The major barriers identified in this study and the corresponding strategic responses are summarized in **Table 1**. The barriers to inclusive education in New Uzbekistan are interconnected and require coordinated responses. Infrastructure improvement alone is insufficient if teachers are not prepared to implement inclusive pedagogy. Similarly, policy reform cannot be effective without monitoring mechanisms, community support, and individualized services for learners with disabilities. Therefore, inclusive education should be approached as a systemic reform that connects physical access, teacher capacity, social awareness, legal enforcement, and multidisciplinary support.

Table 1. Barriers and strategic responses for inclusive education in New Uzbekistan.

BARRIER DOMAIN	MAIN CHALLENGE	STRATEGIC RESPONSE	EXPECTED CONTRIBUTION
Infrastructure and physical accessibility	Many schools lack ramps, tactile flooring, accessible sanitation facilities, elevators, and inclusive classroom layouts, especially in rural areas.	Conduct national accessibility audits and invest in universal design standards for school buildings, classrooms, sanitation facilities, and transportation.	Improves physical access and enables learners with disabilities to participate more fully in mainstream schools.
Teacher training and pedagogical readiness	Many teachers have limited preparation in differentiated instruction, inclusive pedagogy, and classroom management for diverse learners.	Strengthen pre-service and in-service teacher training on inclusive education, special needs support, differentiated instruction, and collaborative teaching.	Improves teachers' capacity to support diverse learners and reduces exclusion within mainstream classrooms.
Societal attitudes and stigma	Disability-related stigma, limited awareness, and cultural misconceptions may discourage families and communities from supporting inclusion.	Implement public awareness campaigns, parent engagement programs, and school-community dialogues on disability rights and inclusive education.	Builds community acceptance and supports the social participation of children with disabilities.
Policy implementation and enforcement	Inclusive education policies exist, but implementation is inconsistent due to weak monitoring, limited budgets, and fragmented coordination.	Establish measurable indicators, dedicated inclusion budgets, inspection mechanisms, and cross-sectoral coordination among education, health, and social protection institutions.	Strengthens accountability and helps translate policy commitments into school-level practice.
Individualized support services	Schools often lack special educators, psychologists, speech therapists, occupational therapists, and effective IEP implementation.	Expand multidisciplinary support teams and develop Individualized Education Plans (IEPs) for learners requiring specialized support.	Provides personalized support and improves meaningful participation for learners with complex needs.

3.1. Infrastructural barriers and physical inaccessibility

The physical environment of schools in Uzbekistan remains one of the most tangible obstacles to inclusive education. A significant proportion of school buildings, constructed during the Soviet era, feature standardized architectural designs that fail to meet modern accessibility standards. Basic accommodations such as ramps, tactile flooring, accessible sanitation facilities, auditory signaling systems, and elevators are predominantly absent, particularly in rural and economically underdeveloped regions. Furthermore, conventional classroom layouts and school transportation systems lack universal design principles, thereby restricting access for children with mobility, visual, or auditory impairments. While retrofitting existing infrastructure and constructing new, accessible facilities demand substantial financial investment, the current lack of budget prioritization means that inclusion often begins and ends at the legislative level, with minimal materialization in everyday educational settings (Rahman et al., 2024).

3.2. Pedagogical challenges and inadequate teacher training

A second critical challenge lies in the limited capacity of educators to deliver inclusive pedagogy. Transitioning from traditional teaching models to inclusive practices requires not only a paradigm shift in mindset but also a fundamental transformation in classroom methodologies. However, many pre-service teacher education programs in Uzbekistan offer minimal exposure to special needs pedagogy. Consequently, in-service training opportunities remain sporadic, underfunded, and lack practical applicability. Teachers frequently report being ill-equipped to adapt curricula, implement differentiated instruction, or manage behavioral dynamics in mixed-ability classrooms. This pedagogical skill gap inadvertently fosters exclusion within ostensibly inclusive settings, where students with disabilities may be physically present but receive limited meaningful engagement or individualized support (Onyishi and Sefotho, 2020). Compounded by consistently large class sizes, the current pedagogical infrastructure tends to reinforce traditional hierarchies rather than facilitating participatory, student-centered learning environments (Papaioannou et al., 2023).

3.3. Societal attitudes, cultural misconceptions, and stigmatization

Beyond material and instructional constraints, the sociocultural context profoundly influences the trajectory of inclusive education. Deeply embedded cultural beliefs and stigmas surrounding disability continue to shape the attitudes of teachers, administrators, peers, and families. In many communities, disabilities are still perceived through a medical or deficit lens, often associated with pity, shame, or spiritual misfortune. These misconceptions significantly marginalize children with disabilities, excluding them not only from mainstream education but also from broader community participation. Consequently, parents of children with disabilities often encounter societal pressure to keep their children at home, while some internalize these stigmas, viewing inclusive education as a potential risk to their child's emotional well-being. Furthermore, a widespread lack of awareness regarding the true nature of inclusive education often breeds resistance and tension among the families of typically developing children (Kwok and Ching, 2022).

3.4. Weak Policy Implementation and Legislative Enforcement Gaps

While Uzbekistan has enacted progressive legislation (such as the Law on Education (2020) and the Presidential Decree on the Development of the Education System (2020-2030)), the operationalization of these policies remains inconsistent across different regions. A notable absence of clear, standardized guidelines for schools is exacerbated by insufficient financial and human resource allocations for monitoring and enforcement. Additionally, weak cross-sectoral collaboration between the Ministries of Education, Health, and Social Protection creates institutional silos that impede integrated service delivery (Mukhopadhyay et al., 2012). Local education authorities frequently operate without designated budgets for inclusion, resulting in fragmented and unsustainable *ad hoc* initiatives. Although national rhetoric strongly champions inclusion, the lack of a rigorous accountability framework (complete with measurable indicators, inspection protocols, and sanctions for non-compliance) means the burden of implementation often falls on unsupported individual schools and educators. Studies on mandated governance show that formal obligations require clear monitoring, reporting, and accountability mechanisms to become effective in practice (Subramaniam et al., 2017).

3.5. Absence of Individual Support Services and Professional Personnel

Perhaps the most critical and least developed component of Uzbekistan's inclusive education system is the provision of individualized support services. Students with complex disabilities require beyond differentiated instruction; they need specialized therapeutic interventions, including speech therapy, occupational therapy, psychological counseling, and behavioral support planning (Stauter et al., 2017). Currently, there is an acute shortage of trained special educators and allied professionals capable of assessing student needs and developing Individualized Education Plans (IEPs) within mainstream environments. When available, these specialists are highly centralized in urban resource centers, leaving rural communities severely underserved. Without robust multidisciplinary support mechanisms, inclusion remains superficial, leaving students to navigate unresponsive environments that fail to accommodate their developmental needs (Will et al., 2018).

3.6. Discussion

The complex interaction across physical, instructional, social, legal, and service-oriented domains indicates that inclusive education in Uzbekistan cannot be realized through isolated, short-term interventions; rather, a systemic and transformative approach is imperative (Young et al., 2020). Inclusion must be strategically embedded into national budget planning, teacher education curricula, architectural standards, and institutional evaluation frameworks (Madon et al., 2009; Ozga and Jones, 2006). Furthermore, building an inclusive ecosystem necessitates active collaboration among diverse stakeholders, including the students themselves, their families, civil society organizations, and international partners (Rollan and Somerton, 2021). This shift requires redefining inclusion from a reactive integration measure into a proactive, equity-driven redesign of the education system. By committing to long-term investment, intersectoral governance, and coordinated service delivery, Uzbekistan can strengthen the institutional foundation for inclusive education. Regional reform experiences show that cross-sector coordination is important when social services require cooperation among multiple public institutions (Ibraimova et al., 2011). In this way, inclusive education will no longer be viewed as a specialized programmatic add-on, but as a fundamental benchmark of educational quality and human rights fulfillment (Alston, 2005).

The aspiration to build an inclusive education system in New Uzbekistan represents a broader societal transformation rooted in human rights, equity, and sustainable development (Fozilova and Husain, 2024).

This study has highlighted the interconnected barriers hindering this transition, including infrastructural inaccessibility, inadequate teacher preparedness, weak policy enforcement, societal stigma, and limited individualized support services (Sarker and Unzum, 2023; Ressa, 2020; Khumalo and Mji, 2014). In addition, experiences from technology-mediated education show that teacher adaptation to new learning environments requires institutional support, training, and readiness for changing instructional demands (Kaeane and Molokomme, 2025).

Achieving genuine inclusivity demands moving beyond symbolic legislative declarations (Vlachou, 2004; Popkewitz and Lindblad, 2000). It necessitates a culturally responsive, cross-sectoral strategy informed by both global best practices and Uzbekistan's unique institutional context (Rollan, 2024). Inclusion must be conceptualized as the continuous adaptation of educational environments to ensure equitable outcomes for every child, regardless of ability (Vakil et al., 2009; Wilson et al., 2020).

To facilitate this transition, several priority action areas must be addressed (Karou and Hull, 2014; Glowacki *et al.*, 2012; Head, 2007; Barry *et al.*, 2010; Sewart, 1980):

- (i) **Infrastructure and Accessibility:** Infrastructure and accessibility should be strengthened through national audits and targeted investments to modernize school facilities according to universal design standards. Accessibility studies show that planning physical access requires identifying spatial barriers and predicting how infrastructure affects people's ability to reach and use essential services (Karou and Hull, 2014).
- (ii) **Teacher Capacity and Inclusive Pedagogy:** Restructuring pre-service and in-service training programs to embed inclusive methodologies, supported by continuous professional development incentives.
- (iii) **Cultural Change and Community Engagement:** Launching national awareness campaigns to dismantle stigma and empower parents of children with disabilities as co-creators of inclusive practices.
- (iv) **Policy Coherence and Implementation Mechanisms:** Strengthening cross-ministerial coordination, establishing dedicated inclusion budgets, and deploying rigorous monitoring frameworks.
- (v) **Individualized Support Systems:** Individualized support systems should be strengthened by expanding access to multidisciplinary professionals, including psychologists, speech therapists, and special educators. An individualized support system requires an information base that helps educators identify learner needs, plan appropriate support, and monitor progress over time (Sewart, 1980). This would help ensure that every child with a disability has access to a collaboratively developed IEP.

By integrating these priorities across all educational sector plans, Uzbekistan can fulfill its commitments to SDG 4 and the UNCRPD. Ultimately, advancing inclusive education transcends pedagogical reform; it shapes a society where diversity is recognized as a profound strength, empowering every individual to actively participate in the nation's socioeconomic life (Mäkinen, 2013).

4. CONCLUSION

This study highlights that while New Uzbekistan has made commendable legislative strides toward inclusive education, profound systemic barriers continue to impede its practical realization. The transition from policy intention to effective execution is currently hindered by a complex interplay of physical, pedagogical, sociocultural, and institutional challenges. Specifically, inadequate and inaccessible school infrastructure, a critical lack of specialized teacher training, deeply entrenched societal stigmas surrounding disability, inconsistent policy enforcement, and the absence of individualized multidisciplinary support services collectively undermine the inclusion process. Overcoming these barriers necessitates a paradigm shift from reactive, isolated interventions to a holistic, cross-sectoral transformation. This requires strategic investments in universal design infrastructure, the comprehensive restructuring of pedagogical training, active community engagement to dismantle cultural prejudices, and the establishment of robust accountability frameworks. Ultimately, realizing genuine inclusive education in Uzbekistan transcends mere compliance with international frameworks like SDG 4; it represents a fundamental societal commitment to equity, ensuring that every learner is empowered to participate meaningfully in a just and inclusive society.

5. AUTHORS' NOTE

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest regarding the publication of this article. The authors confirmed that the paper was free of plagiarism.

6. REFERENCES

- Ainscow, M. (2016). Diversity and equity: A global education challenge. *New Zealand Journal of Educational Studies*, 51, 143-155.
- Alston, P. (2005). Ships passing in the night: The current state of the human rights and development debate seen through the lens of the Millennium Development Goals. *Human Rights Quarterly*, 27(3), 755-829.
- Barry, F., King, M., and Matthews, A. (2010). Policy coherence for development: Five challenges. *Irish Studies in International Affairs*, 21(1), 207-223.
- Boeren, E. (2019). Understanding Sustainable Development Goal (SDG) 4 on "quality education" from micro, meso and macro perspectives. *International Review of Education*, 65, 277-294.
- Fozilova, D., and Husain, M. (2024). Neoliberal repercussions in postsecondary education sector: A comparative case study between Uzbekistan and Bangladesh with emphasis on equity, diversity, and inclusion. *European Education*, 56(3-4), 226-242.
- Glowacki-Dudka, M., Murray, J., and Concepción, D. (2012). Reflections on a teaching commons regarding diversity and inclusive pedagogy. *International Journal for the Scholarship of Teaching and Learning*, 6(2), 1-13.
- Hasan, H., Smith, S., and Finnegan, P. (2017). An activity theoretic analysis of the mediating role of information systems in tackling climate change adaptation. *Information Systems Journal*, 27(3), 271-308.
- Head, B. W. (2007). Community engagement: Participation on whose terms?. *Australian Journal of Political Science*, 42(3), 441-454.
- Ibraimova, A., Akkazieva, B., Murzalieva, G., and Balabanova, D. (2011). Kyrgyzstan: A regional leader in health system reform. *Good Health at Low Cost*, 25, 117-157.
- Kaeane, N. L., and Molokomme, R. T. (2025). Navigating the new normal: Challenges in lecturers' adaptation to online learning at a South African university of technology post-emergency remote teaching. *Edelweiss Applied Science and Technology*, 9(2), 590-602.
- Karou, S., and Hull, A. (2014). Accessibility modelling: Predicting the impact of planned transport infrastructure on accessibility patterns in Edinburgh, UK. *Journal of Transport Geography*, 35, 1-11.
- Khumalo, B., and Mji, A. (2014). Exploring educators' perceptions of the impact of poor infrastructure on learning and teaching in rural South African schools. *Mediterranean Journal of Social Sciences*, 5(20), 1521-1532.

- Kwok, K., and Ching, S. K. L. Y. (2022). Navigating stigma and discrimination: Experiences of migrant children with special needs and their families in accessing education and healthcare in Hong Kong. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 19(10), 5929.
- Lerch, J. C. (2023). How global institutions matter: Education for all and the rise of education as a humanitarian response. *Comparative Education Review*, 67(2), 251-276.
- Lukesch, R., Ludvig, A., Slee, B., Weiss, G., and Živojinović, I. (2020). Social innovation, societal change, and the role of policies. *Sustainability*, 12(18), 7407.
- Madon, S., Reinhard, N., Roode, D., and Walsham, G. (2009). Digital inclusion projects in developing countries: Processes of institutionalization. *Information Technology for Development*, 15(2), 95-107.
- Mäkinen, M. (2013). Becoming engaged in inclusive practices: Narrative reflections on teaching as descriptors of teachers' work engagement. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 35, 51-61.
- Manakov, A. G. (2021). Transformation of the ethnic space in countries of Central Asia in the post-Soviet period. *Geography and Natural Resources*, 42(2), 185-193.
- Mukhopadhyay, S., Nenty, H. J., and Abosi, O. (2012). Inclusive education for learners with disabilities in Botswana primary schools. *Sage Open*, 2(2), 2158244012451584.
- Onyishi, C. N., and Sefotho, M. M. (2020). Teachers' perspectives on the use of differentiated instruction in inclusive classrooms: Implication for teacher education. *International Journal of Higher Education*, 9(6), 136-150.
- Ozga, J., and Jones, R. (2006). Travelling and embedded policy: The case of knowledge transfer. *Journal of Education Policy*, 21(1), 1-17.
- Papaioannou, G., Volakaki, M. G., Kokolakis, S., and Vouyioukas, D. (2023). Learning spaces in higher education: A state-of-the-art review. *Trends in Higher Education*, 2(3), 526-545.
- Popkewitz, T., and Lindblad, S. (2000). Educational governance and social inclusion and exclusion: Some conceptual difficulties and problematics in policy and research1. *Discourse: Studies in The Cultural Politics of Education*, 21(1), 5-44.
- Rad, D., Redeş, A., Roman, A., Ignat, S., Lile, R., Demeter, E., and Rad, G. (2022). Pathways to inclusive and equitable quality early childhood education for achieving SDG4 goal-a scoping review. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 13, 955833.
- Rahman, A. A., Mahmood, C. H., and Termida, N. A. (2024). Obstacles faced by people with disabilities (PWD) in higher education institutions on the east coast of Malaysia. *Recent Trends in Civil Engineering and Built Environment*, 5(2), 114-125.
- Ressa, T. (2020). COVID-19 pandemic: Inadequate digital infrastructure and shortage of technically-trained teachers hinder schooling of children with disabilities in Kenya. *Kenya Studies Review*, 8(2), 43-62.

- Rollan, K. (2024). Grounded theory study of engagement of non-governmental organisations in inclusive education reform in Central Asia. *International Journal of Educational Development*, 111, 103167.
- Rollan, K., and Somerton, M. (2021). Inclusive education reform in Kazakhstan: Civil society activism from the bottom-up. *International Journal of Inclusive Education*, 25(10), 1109-1124.
- Sarker, A., and Unzum, T. (2023). Addressing Barriers to Inclusion: Challenges and Recommendations for Inclusive Primary Education in Bangladesh. *Journal of Education Review Provision*, 3(2), 87-98.
- Sewart, D. (1980). Creating an information base for an individualized support system in distance education. *Distance Education*, 1(2), 171-187.
- Stauter, D. W., Myers, S. R., and Classen, A. I. (2017). Literacy instruction for young children with severe speech and physical impairments: A systematic review. *Journal of Occupational Therapy, Schools, and Early Intervention*, 10(4), 389-407.
- Subramaniam, N., Kansal, M., and Babu, S. (2017). Governance of mandated corporate social responsibility: Evidence from Indian government-owned firms. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 143, 543-563.
- Tang, J. (2025). Challenges and breakthroughs in the green economic transformation of double landlocked countries: A study on Uzbekistan's sustainable development path. *Journal of Interdisciplinary Insights*, 5(1), 51-59.
- Vakil, S., Welton, E., O'Connor, B., and Kline, L. S. (2009). Inclusion means everyone! The role of the early childhood educator when including young children with autism in the classroom. *Early Childhood Education Journal*, 36, 321-326.
- Vlachou, A. (2004). Education and inclusive policy-making: Implications for research and practice. *International Journal of Inclusive Education*, 8(1), 3-21.
- Will, M. N., Currans, K., Smith, J., Weber, S., Duncan, A., Burton, J., and Anixt, J. (2018). Evidenced-based interventions for children with autism spectrum disorder. *Current Problems in Pediatric and Adolescent Health Care*, 48(10), 234-249.
- Wilson, W. J., Theriot, E. A., and Haegele, J. A. (2020). Attempting inclusive practice: Perspectives of physical educators and adapted physical educators. *Curriculum Studies in Health and Physical Education*, 11(3), 187-203.
- Young, S., Hollingdale, J., Absoud, M., Bolton, P., Branney, P., Colley, W., Craze, E., Dave, M., Deeley, Q., Farrag, E., Gudjonsson, G., Hill, P., Liang, H. L., Murphy, C., Mackintosh, P., Murin, M., O'Regan, F., Ougrin, D., Rios, P., Stover, N., Taylor, E., and Woodhouse, E. (2020). Guidance for identification and treatment of individuals with attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder and autism spectrum disorder based upon expert consensus. *BMC Medicine*, 18, 1-29.